arXiv:1612.04032v1 [math.DS] 13 Dec 2016

Subharmonic Solutions and Minimal Periodic Solutions of First-order Hamiltonian Systems with Anisotropic Growth

Chungen Liu* and Xiaofei Zhang
School of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University,
Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Abstract: Using a homologically link theorem in variational theory and iteration inequalities of Maslov-type index, we show the existence of a sequence of subharmonic solutions of non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems with the Hamiltonian functions satisfying some anisotropic growth conditions, *i.e.*, the Hamiltonian functions may have simultaneously, in different components, superquadratic, subquadratic and quadratic behaviors. Moreover, we also consider the minimal period problem of some autonomous Hamiltonian systems with anisotropic growth.

Key Words: Maslov-type index; Morse index; homologically link; Subharmonic solution; minimal period.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we first consider subharmonic solutions of the following Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} -J\dot{z} = H'_z(t,z), \\ z(k\tau) = z(0), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where H'_z is the gradient of H with respect to the variables $z = (p_1, \cdots, p_n, q_1, \cdots, q_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with I_n being the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Denote any principal diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}\{a_1, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n\} \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ by $V(a,b)$ with $a = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \cdots, b_n)$, then $V(a,b)(z) = (a_1p_1, \cdots, a_np_n, b_1q_1, \cdots, b_nq_n)$. Now we suppose the Hamiltonian function H satisfying the following conditions as in [32] with a bit difference.

(H1) $H \in C^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R})$ is nonnegative and τ-periodic with respect to t.

*Partially supported by the NSF of China (11071127, 10621101), 973 Program of MOST (2011CB808002) and SRFDP. E-mail: liucg@nankai.edu.cn

(H2) There exist $\beta > 1$ and $c_1, c_2, \alpha_i, \beta_i > 0$ with $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ such that

$$H'_z(t,z) \cdot V_1(z) - H(t,z) \geq c_1|z|^\beta - c_2, \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

where $V_1 = V(\alpha, \beta)$.

(H3) There exist $\sigma_i, \tau_i > 0$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R} : \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}, \frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}\} < \lambda < 1 + \beta\}$ such that

$$|H''_{zz}(t,z)| \leq c_2(|z|^{\lambda-1} + 1), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

(H4) $\dfrac{H(t,z)}{\omega(z)} \to 0$ as $|z| \to 0$ uniformly in t, where $w(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + |q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right)$.

(H5) $\dfrac{H(t,z)}{w(z)} \to +\infty$ as $|z| \to +\infty$ uniformly in t.

Note that the Hamiltonian function

$$H(t,z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} \ln(1+p_i^2) + |q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \ln(1+q_i^2)\right), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n} \tag{1.2}$$

is an example satisfying (H1)-(H5) with anisotropic growth when $\sigma_i \neq \tau_i$ for some i. When $\sigma_i = \tau_i$ for all i, it is an *almost quadratic growth* function which is slower growing than any super-quadratic function at infinity in the sense of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz.

Given $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and a $k\tau$-periodic solution $(z, k\tau)$ of the system (1.1), the phase shift $j * z$ of z is defined by $j * z(t) = z(t + j\tau)$. Recall that two solutions $(z_1, k_1\tau)$ and $(z_2, k_2\tau)$ are geometrically distinct if $j * z_1 \neq l * z_2$, $j, l \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Now we list our main results of subharmonic solutions as following.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose H satisfies (H1), (H2), (H4), (H5) and*

(H3)′ there exist constants $\xi_i, \eta_i > 0$ with $\xi_i + \eta_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ such that

$$H'_z(t,z) \cdot V_2(z) - H(t,z) \geq c_1|H'_z(t,z)| - c_2, \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

where $V_2 = V(\xi, \eta)$ and $\max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}, \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}, \frac{\xi_i}{\eta_i}, \frac{\eta_i}{\xi_i}, \frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}, \frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}\} < 1 + \beta$.
Then for each integer $k \geq 1$, the system (1.1) possesses a $k\tau$-periodic nonconstant solution z_k such that z_k and z_{pk} are geometrically distinct provided $p > 2n+1$. If all z_k are non-degenerate, then z_k and z_{pk} $(p > 1)$ are geometrically distinct.

Note that (H3)′ is weaker than (H3), so we have a similar result stated as a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1. *Suppose H satisfies (H1)-(H5), then we have the same results as in Theorem 1.1.*

Theorem 1.2. *The conclusions of Theorem 1.1 still hold if H satisfies the conditions*

(C1) $H \in C^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R})$ is nonnegative and τ-periodic with respect to t,

(C2) there exist constants $0 < \theta < 1$, $R, \varphi_i, \psi_i > 0$ with $\frac{1}{\varphi_i} + \frac{1}{\psi_i} = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ such that, by setting $V_3 = V(\varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1})$, we have

$$\theta H'_z(t,z) \cdot V_3(z) \geq H(t,z) > 0, \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n} \text{ with } |z| \geq R,$$

(C3) there exist constants $b_1 > 0$ *and* $b_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$|H'_z(t,z)| \le b_1 H'_z(t,z) \cdot V_3(z) + b_2, \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

(C4) $\dfrac{H(t,z)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|p_i|^{\varphi_i} + |q_i|^{\psi_i})} \to 0$ *as* $|z| \to 0$ *uniformly in* t.

The above conditions (C1)-(C4) are similar to that of [2] with minor difference.

Remark 1.1. *In the case where* $H(t,z) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{B}(t)z, z) + \hat{H}(t,z)$ *with* $\hat{B}(t)$ *being a* τ*-periodic, continuous symmetric matrix function and* $\hat{H}$ *satisfying the conditions as stated in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1, we also obtain the similar results with some restrictive conditions on* $\hat{B}$ *in Section 4 below. But compared with the results in [18], we note that the condition* $B(t)$ *is semi-positive-definite required in [18] is not necessary here (see Remark 4.1).*

In Section 5, we consider the minimal periodic problem of some autonomous Hamiltonian systems with the Hamiltonian functions $H(z)$ *satisfying the anisotropic growth conditions as stated in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1. With the same tricks, we show that the critical points* (z, τ) *obtained from the homological link method in fact is the minimal periodic solution of the Hamiltonian systems provided the Hessian* $H''_{zz}(z)$ *is positively definite for* $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$.

In the pioneer work [29], Rabinowitz obtained a sequence of subharmonic solutions of the system (1.1). Since then, many papers were devoted to the study of subharmonic solutions (see [4, 6, 7, 17, 18, 25, 26, 31, 35]). For the brake subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems we refer to [14, 16]. For the P-symmetric subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems we refer to [23]. We note that all the results obtained in the references mentioned here are related with the Hamiltonian functions with superquadratic growth or subquadratic growth.

This paper is organized as follows, in Section 2, as preliminary we recall some notions about the Maslov-type index theory and the iteration inequalities developed by Y.Long and the first author of this paper in [21]. In this section we also recall the homologically link theorem in [1] from which we can find a critical point of the corresponding functional together with index information. Under the conditions as in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1, we show that there is a homologically link structure for the functional. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1. In Section 4, we consider the existence of subharmonic solutions of the Hamiltonian systems in the case where H may contain a quadratic term as $H(t,z) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{B}(t)z, z) + \hat{H}(t,z)$. We consider minimal periodic problem for the autonomous Hamiltonian systems in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

We first recall the notion of Maslov-type index and some iteration estimates. We refer to [1], [21] and [24] for details.

Denote by $Sp(2n) = \{M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^{2n}) | M^t J M = J\}$ the $2n \times 2n$ symplectic group, where M^t denotes the transpose of M. Define $\mathcal{P}(2n) = \{\gamma | \gamma \in C([0,\tau], Sp(2n)),\ \ \gamma(0) = I\}$.

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(2n)$, according to [1] and [24], there is a Maslov-type index theory which assigns to γ a pair of integers

$$(i_\tau, \nu_\tau) := (i_\tau(\gamma), \nu_\tau(\gamma)) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \{0, 1, \cdots, 2n\},$$

where i_τ is the index part of γ and ν_τ is the nullity.

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(2n)$, define $\gamma^k : [0, k\tau] \to Sp(2n)$ by

$$\gamma^k(t) = \gamma(t - j\tau)\gamma(\tau)^j, \quad j\tau \le t \le (j+1)\tau,\ 0 \le j \le k-1.$$

We denote the Maslov index of γ^k on the interval $[0, k\tau]$ by $(i_{k\tau}, \nu_{k\tau}) := (i_{k\tau}(\gamma^k), \nu_{k\tau}(\gamma^k))$.

In the case of linear Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} -J\dot{z} = B(t)z \\ z(0) = z(\tau), \end{cases}$$

where $B(t)$ is a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function. Its fundamental solution is denoted by $\gamma_B \in C([0, \tau], Sp(2n))$ with $\gamma(0) = I_{2n}$. The Maslov-type index $(i_\tau(B), \nu_\tau(B)) := (i_\tau(\gamma_B), \nu_\tau(\gamma_B))$ is also called the Maslov-type index of the matrix function $B(t)$.

If z is a τ-periodic solution of the system (1.1), we denote by $(i_\tau(z), \nu_\tau(z)) := (i_\tau(B), \nu_\tau(B))$ with $B(t) = H''_z(t, z(t))$. The solution z is non-degenerate if $\nu_\tau(z) = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *([18]) If z is a $k\tau$-periodic solution of the system (1.1), then $i_{k\tau}(j*z) = i_{k\tau}(z)$ and $\nu_{k\tau}(j*z) = \nu_{k\tau}(z)$ hold for $0 \le j \le k$.*

Proposition 2.2. *([18]) For $k \in \mathbf{N}$, there holds*

$$k(i_\tau + \nu_\tau - n) - n \le i_{k\tau} \le k(i_\tau + n) + n - \nu_{k\tau}.$$

Proposition 2.3. *([21]) For $m \in \mathbf{N}$, there holds*

$$m(i_\tau + \nu_\tau - n) + n - \nu_\tau \le i_{m\tau} \le m(i_\tau + n) - n - (\nu_{m\tau} - \nu_\tau).$$

Lemma 2.1. *([1]) Let $B(t)$ be a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function. Assume $B(t)$ are positive for $t \in [0, \tau]$ and $B(t_0)$ is strictly positive for some $t_0 \in [0, \tau]$. Then $i_\tau(B) \ge n$.*

Lemma 2.2. *([5]) Let $B(t)$ be a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function. Assume for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$, there hold $i_{k\tau}(B) \le n+1$, $i_\tau(B) \ge n$ and $\nu_\tau(B) \ge 1$. Then $k = 1$.*

Now we introduce some concepts and results of Sobolev space theory.

Let $E = W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) = \left\{ z \in L^2(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \middle| \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} |j||a_j|^2 < +\infty \right\}$, where $S_\tau := \mathbf{R}/\tau\mathbf{Z}$, $z(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi t}{\tau} J\right) a_k, a_k \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

For $\zeta \in E$, $\zeta(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi t}{\tau} J\right) b_k, b_k \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, the inner product on E is

$$\langle z, \zeta \rangle = \tau(a_0, b_0) + \tau \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |k| a_k \cdot b_k.$$

Lemma 2.3. *([30]) (Embedding Theorem) The space E compactly embeds into $L^s(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ $(s \geq 1)$, in particular, there exists a constant $C_s > 0$ such that $\|z\|_{L^s} \leq C_s\|z\|$ holds for $z \in E$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm on E.*

There exists a linear bounded self-adjoint operators A such that $\langle Az, \zeta\rangle = 2\pi \sum_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} ka_k \cdot b_k$, obtained by extending the bilinear form $\langle Az, \zeta\rangle = \int_0^{2\pi}(-J\dot{z}, \zeta)\mathrm{d}t$, $z, \zeta \in W^{1,2}(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$.

Set $E^{\pm} = \{z \in E | z(t) = \sum_{\pm k>0} \exp(\frac{2k\pi t}{\tau}J)a_k, a_k \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}\}$ and $E^0 = \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, then $Az^{\pm} = \pm\frac{2\pi}{\tau}z^{\pm}$, $z^{\pm} \in E^{\pm}$ (see [18]). Moreover, we set $E_m = \{z \in E | z(t) = \sum_{k=-m}^{m} \exp(\frac{2k\pi t}{\tau}J)a_k, a_k \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}\}$ and $E_m^{\pm} = E^{\pm} \bigcap E_m$, and let P_m be the corresponding orthogonal projection.

For $d > 0$, we denote by $M_d^+(C)$, $M_d^-(C)$ and $M_d^0(C)$ the eigenspaces of any linear bounded self-adjoint Fredholm operator C corresponding to the eigenvalue λ belonging to $(d, +\infty)$, $(-\infty, d)$ and $[-d, d]$ respectively.

Given $B(t)$ a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function with Maslov-type index $(i_\tau(B), \nu_\tau(B))$, define $\langle Bz, \zeta\rangle = \int_0^\tau (B(t)z, \zeta)\mathrm{d}t$, $z, \zeta \in E$. Set $(A-B)^\sharp := (A - B|_{R(A-B)})^{-1}$, then we have the following theorem.

Lemma 2.4. *([10]) Suppose $0 < d < \frac{1}{4}\|(A-B)^\sharp\|^{-1}$, then for m large enough, there hold*

$$dimM_d^+(P_m(A-B)P_m) = \frac{1}{2}dim(P_mE) - i_\tau(B) - \nu_\tau(B),$$

$$dimM_d^-(P_m(A-B)P_m) = \frac{1}{2}dim(P_mE) + i_\tau(B),$$

$$dimM_d^0(P_m(A-B)P_m) = \nu_\tau(B).$$

For $e \in E_1 \bigcap E^+$ with $\|e\| = 1$, set $W = \big\{z \in \mathrm{span}\{e\} \bigoplus E^- \bigoplus E^0 \big| 1 \leq \|z\| \leq 2, \|z^-\| \leq \|z^+ + z^0\|\big\}$, then we have

Lemma 2.5. *([9]) There exists a constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{measure}\big\{t \in [0,T] \big| |z(t)| \geq \varepsilon_1\big\} \geq \varepsilon_1, \qquad z \in W.$$

Finally, we recall the homologically link theorem in [1].

Definition 2.1. *([3]) Let Q be a topologically embedded closed q-dimensional ball on a Hilbert manifold M and let $S \subset M$ be a closed subset such that $\partial Q \bigcap S = \emptyset$. We say that ∂Q and S homotopically link if $\varphi(Q) \bigcap S \neq \emptyset$ for $\varphi \in C(Q, M)$ with $\varphi|_{\partial Q} = \mathrm{id}|_{\partial Q}$.*

Definition 2.2. *([1]) Let Q be a topologically embedded closed q-dimensional ball on a Hilbert manifold M and let $S \subset M$ be a closed subset such that $\partial Q \bigcap S = \emptyset$. We say that ∂Q and S homologically link if ∂Q is the support of a non-vanishing homology class in $H_{q-1}(M \backslash S)$.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $M = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$ be a Hilbert space with $dimM_2 = q-1$, $S = \partial B_\mu \bigcap M_1$ and $Q = (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2) \bigoplus [0, \nu]e$, where $e \in M_1$ with $\|e\| = 1$ and $\nu > \mu > 0$. Let B_μ, B_ν be two bounded linear invertible operators on M such that $\nu > \mu\|B_\nu^{-1}B_\mu\|$ and $PB_\mu^{-1}B_\nu : M_2 \to M_2$ is invertible, where $P : M \to M_2$ is the orthogonal projection. Then $B_\nu(\partial Q)$ and $B_\mu(S)$ homologically link.*

Proof. It is easy to prove $B_\nu(\partial Q)$ and $B_\mu(S)$ homotopically link (see [13]).

Indeed, to show $B_\nu(Q)\bigcap B_\mu(S) \neq \emptyset$, it is equivalent to proving $\psi_0(t,v) = (\mu, 0)$ has a solution in $[0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2)$, where

$$\psi_0(t,v) = (\|B_\mu^{-1}B_\nu(te+v)\|, P_2B_\mu^{-1}B_\nu(te+v)), \qquad (t,v) \in [0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2).$$

Note that $t = \mu\|B_\mu^{-1}B_\nu e - B_\mu^{-1}B_\nu B_0^{-1}B_0 e\|^{-1}$ $(< \nu)$ and $v = -tB_0^{-1}B_0 e$ $(\in B_\nu \bigcap M_2)$ is the unique solution of ψ_0 in $[0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2)$, where $B_0 = P_2B_\mu^{-1}B_\nu$ and B_0^{-1} denotes the inverse of $B_0|_{M_2}$. Thus $(\mu, 0) \notin \psi_0(\partial([0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2)))$, $\deg(\psi_0, (0,\nu) \times (B_\nu \bigcap M_2), (\mu, 0)) = \pm 1$ and $B_\nu(\partial Q)\bigcap B_\mu(S) = \emptyset$.

For $\varphi \in C(B_\nu(Q), M)$ with $\varphi|_{B_\nu(\partial Q)} = id|_{B_\nu(\partial Q)}$, define $\psi : [0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2) \to \mathbf{R} \times M_2$ as

$$\psi(t,v) = (\|B_\mu^{-1}\varphi B_\nu(te+v)\|, P_2B_\mu^{-1}\varphi B_\nu(te+v)).$$

In order to show $\varphi(B_\nu(Q))\bigcap B_\mu(S) \neq \emptyset$, it is equivalent to proving $\psi(t,v) = (\mu, 0)$ has a solution in $[0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2)$.

Since $\psi = \psi_0$ on $\partial([0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2))$, by the Brouwer degree theory, $\deg(\psi, (0,\nu) \times (B_\nu \bigcap M_2), (\mu, 0))$= $\deg(\psi_0, (0,\nu) \times (B_\nu \bigcap M_2), (\mu, 0)) = \pm 1$, then $\psi(t,v) = (\mu, 0)$ has a solution in $[0,\nu] \times (\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap M_2)$. Hence, $B_\nu(\partial Q)$ and $B_\mu(S)$ homotopically link.

From Theorem II.1.2 in [3], we see $B_\nu(\partial Q)$ and $B_\mu(S)$ homologically link. □

Let f be a C^2 functional on a Hilbert manifold M. Recall that the Morse index $m(x)$ of f at a critical point x is the dimension of a maximal subspace on which $D^2f(x)$ is strictly negative definite, while the large Morse index $m^*(x)$ is $m(x)$+dim Ker$D^2f(x)$.

Lemma 2.7. *([1]) Let f be a C^2 functional on a Hilbert manifold M with Fredholm gradient. Let $Q \subset M$ be a topologically embedded closed q-dimensional ball and let $S \subset M$ be a closed subset such that $\partial Q \bigcap S = \emptyset$. Assume that ∂Q and S homologically link. Moreover, assume*

(i) $\sup_{\partial Q} f < \inf_S f$,

(ii) f satisfies (PS) condition on some open interval containing $[\inf_S f, \sup_Q f]$.

Then, if Γ denotes the set of all q-chains in M whose boundary has support ∂Q, the number $c = \inf_{\xi\in\Gamma} \sup_{|\xi|} f \in [\inf_S f, \sup_Q f]$ is a critical value of f, where $|\xi|$ denotes the support of the chain ξ. Moreover, f has a critical point $\bar{x}$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = c$ and $m(\bar{x}) \leq q \leq m^*(\bar{x})$.

Remark 2.1. *If M is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, and f satisfies (C) condition instead of (PS) condition, the above theorem still holds, the proof is the same as that of Theorem 4.1.7 in [1] (see [27] for results obtained under (C) condition).*

Recall that the functional f satisfies the so called Cerami condition ((C) condition for short) on $J \subset \mathbf{R}\bigcup\{\pm\infty\}$ if $\{z_m\} \subset M$ such that $f(z_m) \to c \in J$ and $(1 + \|z_m\|)\|\nabla f(z_m)\| \to 0$ as $m \to +\infty$ has a convergent subsequence.

3 Proofs of the Main Results

For simplicity, we first give a proof of Corollary 1.1.

Define $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}\langle Az, z\rangle - \int_0^\tau H(t,z)\mathrm{d}t$, $z \in E$, by (H3), we have $f \in C^2(E, \mathbf{R})$. As usual, finding periodic solutions of the system (1.1) converts to looking for critical points of f.

Let $f_m = f|_{E_m}$, $X_m = E_m^- \bigoplus E^0$ and $Y_m = E_m^+$. Now we check the conditions in Lemma 2.7 for f_m when H satisfies (H1)-(H5). The proofs are similar to those in [32, 34].

Lemma 3.1. *The functional f satisfies $(C)^*$ condition with respect to $\{E_m | m = 1, 2, \cdots\}$, that is, any sequence $\{z_m\}$ such that $z_m \in E_m$, $\{f_m(z_m)\}$ is bounded and $(1 + \|z_m\|)\|\nabla f_m(z_m)\| \to 0$ as $m \to +\infty$ has a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Let $\{z_m\}$ be such a sequence, we only need to prove $\{z_m\}$ is bounded. Otherwise, we may suppose $\|z_m\| \to +\infty$ as $m \to +\infty$.

Note that for $z \in E_m$, we have $\nabla f_m(z) = P_m \nabla f(z)$. By using $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 1$ and the integration by parts, there hold

$$f_m(z) - \langle \nabla f_m(z), V_1(z)\rangle = f(z) - \langle \nabla f(z), V_1(z)\rangle = \int_0^\tau (H_z'(t,z)\cdot V_1(z) - H(t,z))\mathrm{d}t,$$

where $V_1(z)$ is defined in (H2). By (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f_m(z_m) - \langle \nabla f_m(z_m), V_1(z_m)\rangle \\ = & \int_0^\tau (H_z'(t,z_m)\cdot V_1(z_m) - H(t,z_m))\mathrm{d}t \\ \geq & c_1\|z_m\|_{L^\beta}^\beta - \tau c_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Hence $\{\|z_m\|_{L^\beta}\}$ is bounded.

By using the constants β, λ defined in (H2), (H3), we set $p = \frac{2\beta+1}{2\lambda-1}$. It is obvious that $p > 1$. Take q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. It is easy to see $\lambda - \frac{\beta}{p} = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{2\beta+1} < 1$ and $2q(\lambda - \frac{\beta}{p}) = \frac{\lambda+\beta}{\beta+1-\lambda} > 1$. By (H3), Lemma 2.3 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\tau |H_z'(t,z_m)\cdot z_m^\pm|\mathrm{d}t \\ \leq & c_3\int_0^\tau |z_m|^\lambda |z_m^\pm|\mathrm{d}t + c_4\|z_m^\pm\| \\ = & c_3\int_0^\tau |z_m|^{\frac{\beta}{p}}|z|^{\lambda-\frac{\beta}{p}}|z_m^\pm|\mathrm{d}t + c_4\|z_m^\pm\| \\ \leq & c_3\left(\int_0^\tau |z_m|^\beta \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^\tau |z_m|^{\left(\lambda-\frac{\beta}{p}\right)q}|z_m^\pm|^q\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + c_4\|z_m^\pm\| \\ \leq & c_5\left(\int_0^\tau |z_m|^{\left(\lambda-\frac{\beta}{p}\right)2q}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}}\left(\int_0^\tau |z_m^\pm|^{2q}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} + c_4\|z_m^\pm\| \\ \leq & c_6\|z_m\|^{\lambda-\frac{\beta}{p}}\|z_m^\pm\| + c_6\|z_m^\pm\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $c_i > 0$ are suitable constants.

By (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla f_m(z_m)\|\cdot\|z_m^{\pm}\| &\geq \pm\langle\nabla f_m(z_m), z_m^{\pm}\rangle\\ &= \pm\langle Az_m, z_m^{\pm}\rangle \mp \int_0^{\tau} H_z'(t,z_m)\cdot z_m^{\pm}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\geq \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\|z_m^{\pm}\|^2 - c_6\|z_m\|^{\lambda-\frac{\beta}{p}}\|z_m^{\pm}\| - c_6\|z_m^{\pm}\|. \end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

We can suppose that there are only finitely many $z_m^{\pm}=0$. Dividing the two sides by $\|z_m\|\cdot\|z_m^{\pm}\|$, it implies $\frac{\|z_m^{\pm}\|}{\|z_m\|}\to 0$ as $m\to+\infty$. By (3.1), we see $\frac{|z_m^0|}{\|z_m\|}\to 0$, $m\to+\infty$. From $\frac{\|z_m^+\|^2+\|z_m^-\|^2+\tau|z_m^0|^2}{\|z_m\|^2}=1$, we obtain a contradiction. □

We note that if f satisfies $(\mathrm{C})^*$ condition on E, then f_m satisfies (C) condition on E_m.

There exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_i=\frac{\eta\sigma_i}{\sigma_i+\tau_i}\geq 1$ and $\tilde{\tau}_i=\frac{\eta\tau_i}{\sigma_i+\tau_i}\geq 1$. For $\rho>0$ and $z=(p_1,\cdots,p_n,q_1,\cdots,q_n)\in E$, we set $B_\rho(z)=(\rho^{\tilde{\tau}_1-1}p_1,\cdots,\rho^{\tilde{\tau}_n-1}p_n,\rho^{\tilde{\sigma}_1-1}q_1\cdots,\rho^{\tilde{\sigma}_n-1}q_n)$. We note that B_ρ is a linear bounded and invertible operator and $\|B_\rho\|\leq 1$, if $\rho\leq 1$.

For $z=z^+ + z^0 + z^-\in E$, we have

$$\langle AB_\rho z, B_\rho z\rangle = \rho^{\eta-2}\langle Az,z\rangle = \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\rho^{\eta-2}(\|z^+\|^2-\|z^-\|^2).\tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exist $\mu\in(0,1)$ and $\delta>0$ independent of m such that $\inf_{B_\mu(S_m)} f_m\geq\delta$, where $S_m=S\cap Y_m$ and $S=\{z\in E^+|\|z\|=\mu\}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $\inf_{B_\mu(S)} f\geq\delta$.

By (H3) and (H4), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $M_\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$H(t,z)\leq\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}+|q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right)+M_\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\left(|p_i|^{1+\lambda}+|q_i|^{1+\lambda}\right),\quad (t,z)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}.\tag{3.5}$$

By (3.5), for $z=(p_1,\cdots,p_n,q_1,\cdots,q_n)\in E$, $\|z\|=\mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{\tau}H(t,B_\mu z)\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\ &\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^{\tau}\left(|\mu^{\tilde{\tau}_i-1}p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}+|\mu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i-1}q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right)\mathrm{d}t+M_\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^{\tau}\left(|\mu^{\tilde{\tau}_i-1}p_i|^{1+\lambda}+|\mu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i-1}q_i|^{1+\lambda}\right)\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\ &\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^{\tau}\left(\mu^{(\tilde{\tau}_i-1)(1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i})}|z|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}+\mu^{(\tilde{\sigma}_i-1)(1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i})}|z|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right)\mathrm{d}t\\ &+M_\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\int_0^{\tau}\left(\mu^{(\tilde{\tau}_i-1)(1+\lambda)}|z|^{1+\lambda}+\mu^{(\tilde{\sigma}_i-1)(1+\lambda)}|z|^{1+\lambda}\right)\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\ &2\varepsilon\mu^\eta\sum_{i=1}^n C(\sigma_i,\tau_i)+M_\varepsilon\mu^\eta\sum_{i=1}^n C(\lambda)\left(\mu^{\tilde{\tau}_i(\lambda-\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i})}+\mu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i(\lambda-\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i})}\right)\\ \leq\ &c_7\mu^\eta\left[2\varepsilon+M_\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\mu^{\tilde{\tau}_i(\lambda-\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i})}+\mu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i(\lambda-\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i})}\right)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $C(\sigma_i,\tau_i)$, $C(\lambda)>0$ are the embedding constants.

By (3.4) and (3.6), for $z \in E^+$, $\|z\| = \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(B_\mu z) &= \frac{1}{2}\langle AB_\mu z, B_\mu z\rangle - \int_0^\tau H(t, B_\mu z)\mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{\tau}\mu^\eta - c_7\mu^\eta\left[2\varepsilon + M_\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mu^{\tilde{\tau}_i(\lambda - \frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i})} + \mu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i(\lambda - \frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i})}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1$ so small that $f(B_\mu z) \geq \delta := \frac{\pi}{3\tau}\mu^\eta$ for $z \in E^+$ and $\|z\| = \mu$. Thus $\inf_{B_\mu(S)} f \geq \delta > 0$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Set $Q = [\bar{B}_\nu \bigcap (E^- \bigoplus E^0)] \bigoplus [0, \nu]e$ and $Q_m = Q \bigcap (X_m \bigoplus span\{e\})$, where $\bar{B}_\nu = \{z \in E | \|z\| \leq \nu\}$. For any ν with $\nu > \mu > 0$, we have $B_\nu(\partial Q_m)$ and $B_\mu(S_m)$ homologically link.*

Proof. Since $\nu > \mu > 0$, then $\nu > \mu\|B_\nu^{-1}B_\mu\| = \mu\|B_{\frac{\mu}{\nu}}\|$ and $PB_\mu^{-1}B_\nu : E^- \bigoplus E^0 \to E^- \bigoplus E^0$ is linear bounded and invertible (see [2, 32]), where $P : E \to E^- \bigoplus E^0$ denotes the orthogonal projection. Furthermore, by noting that $B_i(E_m) \subset E_m$ $(i = \mu, \nu)$, and $B_i|_{E_m} : E_m \to E_m$ is linear bounded and invertible, then $\tilde{P}_m(B_\mu|_{E_m})^{-1}B_\nu|_{E_m} : X_m \to X_m$ is linear bounded and invertible, where $\tilde{P}_m : E_m \to X_m$ is the orthogonal projection. From Lemma 2.6, we complete the proof. □

For $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ as in Lemma 2.5, we set $\frac{2\pi}{\tau} \cdot \sqrt{2n}A_1^{-1} = \varepsilon_1 \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}, \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right\}$, by (H5), there exists a constant $A_2 > 0$ such that

$$H(t, z) \geq A_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + |q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right), \quad (t, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n} \text{ with } |z| \geq A_2. \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Choose $\nu > \frac{A_2}{\varepsilon_1} + 1$, then $f_m|_{B_\nu(\partial Q_m)} \leq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\partial Q_m \subset \partial Q$, we show $f|_{B_\nu(\partial Q)} \leq 0$.

For $z \in \partial Q$, $z = se + z^- + z^0$, then $f(B_\nu z) \leq 0$. We show this in two cases.

Case 1. If $s = 0$, by (H1) and (3.4), we have $f(B_\nu z) \leq 0$.

Case 2. If $s \neq 0$, then $s = \nu$ and $\|z^- + z^0\| \leq \nu$ or $0 \leq s \leq \nu$ and $\|z^- + z^0\| = \nu$. In the two situations, we always have $\nu \leq \|z\| \leq 2\nu$. We now consider two subcases.

Subcase 1. If $\|se + z^0\| < \|z^-\|$, so $\|se\| < \|z^-\|$, by (H1) and (3.4), then $f(B_\nu z) \leq 0$.

Subcase 2. If $\|se + z^0\| \geq \|z^-\|$, set $\Omega_z = \{t \in [0, \tau] | |z(t)| \geq \nu\varepsilon_1\}$, Lemma 2.5 shows that measure $\Omega_z \geq \varepsilon_1$. From definition, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}|z(t)| \geq \sqrt{2n}, \quad t \in \Omega_z \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$|B_\nu z(t)| \geq |z(t)| \geq \nu\varepsilon_1 > A_2, \quad t \in \Omega_z. \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9) and Remark 1.4 of [32], there hold

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left|\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}p_i(t)\right|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + \left|\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}q_i(t)\right|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right) \\ \geq\ & \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}|p_i(t)| + \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}|q_i(t)|\right) \geq \frac{1}{2n}\frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1}|z(t)|, \quad t \in \Omega_z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, B_\nu z(t)) &\geq A_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(|\nu^{\tilde{\tau}_i - 1} p_i(t)|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + |\nu^{\tilde{\sigma}_i - 1} q_i(t)|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \right) \\ &\geq A_1 \nu^\eta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(|\nu^{-1} p_i(t)|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + |\nu^{-1} q_i(t)|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \right) \\ &\geq A_1 \nu^\eta \min_{1\leq i\leq n} \left\{ \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}, \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \right\} \cdot \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left| \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1} p_i(t) \right|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}} + \left| \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1} q_i(t) \right|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \right) \\ &\geq A_1 \nu^\eta \min_{1\leq i\leq n} \left\{ \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}, \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}} \right\} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\nu\varepsilon_1} |z(t)| \\ &\geq \frac{2\pi}{\tau\varepsilon_1} \nu^\eta, \quad t \in \Omega_z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By (H1), (3.4) and (3.12), we have $f(B_\nu z) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\nu^\eta - \int_{\Omega_z} H(t, B_\nu z(t))\mathrm{d}t \leq 0$. □

Theorem 3.1. *If H satisfies (H1)-(H5), then there exists a nonconstant solution z of the system (1.1) satisfying*

$$i_\tau(z) \leq n+1 \leq i_\tau(z) + \nu_\tau(z). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. We follow the ideas of [18]. Now Lemmas 3.1-3.4 show that all conditions of Lemma 2.7 are satisfied for f_m (see Remark 2.1). By (3.4) and (H1), we have $f|_{B_\nu(Q)} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\nu^\eta$. So f_m has a critical point z_m satisfying

$$\delta \leq f_m(z_m) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\nu^\eta \ \text{ and } \ m(z_m) \leq \dim X_m + 1 \leq m^*(z_m). \tag{3.14}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we may assume $z_m \to z \in E$ with $\delta \leq f(z) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\tau}\nu^\eta$ and $\nabla f(z) = 0$. By (H1), we see z is a nonconstant solution of the system (1.1). Now we show that the critical point z satisfies (3.13).

Let B be the operator for $B(t) = H''_{zz}(t, z(t))$ defined in Section 2, then we have

$$\|f''(x) - f''(z)\| = \|f''(x) - (A-B)\| \to 0, \quad \|x - z\| \to 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Let $0 < d < \|(A-B)^\sharp\|^{-1}$, by (3.15), there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\|f''(x) - (A-B)\| < \frac{d}{3}, \quad x \in \bar{B}(z,\kappa) := \{z \in E | \|x - z\| \leq \kappa\}.$$

Then for m large enough, we have

$$\|f''_m(x) - P_m(A-B)P_m\| < \frac{d}{2}, \quad x \in \bar{B}(z,\kappa) \bigcap E_m. \tag{3.16}$$

For $x \in \bar{B}(z,\kappa) \bigcap E_m$, Eq. (3.16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f''_m(x)u, u\rangle &\leq \langle P_m(A-B)P_m u, u\rangle + \|f''_m(x) - P_m(A-B)P_m\|\|u\|^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{d}{2}\|u\|^2 < 0, \quad u \in M_d^-(P_m(A-B)P_m)\backslash\{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dim M^-(f_m''(x)) \geq \dim M_d^-(P_m(A-B)P_m), \quad x \in \bar{B}(z,\kappa)\bigcap E_m. \tag{3.17}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\dim M^+(f_m''(x)) \geq \dim M_d^+(P_m(A-B)P_m), \quad x \in \bar{B}(z,\kappa)\bigcap E_m. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.14), (3.17) and (3.18), for m large enough, Lemma 2.4 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\dim E_m + n + 1 &= \dim X_m + 1 \geq m(z_m) \\ &\geq \dim M_d^-(P_m(A-B)P_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dim E_m + i_\tau(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\dim E_m + n + 1 &= \dim X_m + 1 \leq m^*(z_m) \\ &\leq \dim M_d^-(P_m(A-B)P_m) + M_d^0(P_m(A-B)P_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dim E_m + i_\tau(z) + \upsilon_T(z). \end{aligned}$$

The above two estimates show that (3.13) holds. □

Remark 3.1. *Under either the conditions of Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 still holds (see Remarks below).*

Proof of Corollary 1.1. The proof is the same as that in [18]. For readers convenience' we give the details here.

Since H is $k\tau$-periodic, by Theorem 3.1, the system 1.1 possesses a nonconstant $k\tau$-periodic solution z_k satisfying

$$i_{k\tau}(z_k) \leq n+1 \leq i_{k\tau}(z_k) + \nu_{k\tau}(z_k). \tag{3.19}$$

If z_k and z_{pk} are not geometrically distinct, by definition, there exist integers l and m such that $l * z_k = m * z_{pk}$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $i_{k\tau}(l * z_k) = i_{k\tau}(z_k)$, $\nu_{k\tau}(l * z_k) = \nu_{k\tau}(z_k)$ and $i_{pkT}(m * z_{pk}) = i_{pkT}(z_{pk})$, $\nu_{pkT}(m * z_{pk}) = \nu_{pkT}(z_{pk})$.

Eq. (3.19) shows that $i_{pkT}(z_{pk}) \leq n+1$ and $i_{k\tau}(z_k) + \nu_{k\tau}(z_k) \geq n+1$. Proposition 2.2 shows that $p - n \leq n+1$ contradicting with the assumption $p > 2n+1$. Hence if $p > 2n+1$, then z_k and z_{pk} are geometrically distinct.

If all z_k are non-degenerate, then $\nu_{k\tau}(z_k) = 0$ and $i_{k\tau}(z_k) = n+1$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Proposition 2.3 shows that $p + n \leq n+1$, so we get $p = 1$. Hence z_k and z_{pk} are geometrically distinct when $p > 1$. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

From Remark 3.1, we see the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are similar to the proof of Corollary 1.1.

Remark 3.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 still holds.*

Indeed, for any $K>0$, we take a cut-off function defined by

$$\chi(s)=\begin{cases}1, & 0\le s\le K,\\ 0, & s\ge K+1,\end{cases}\quad \text{and}\quad \chi'|_{(K,K+1)}<0.$$

We set $\gamma=\max_{1\le i\le n}\{\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i},\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i},\frac{\xi_i}{\eta_i},\frac{\eta_i}{\xi_i},\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i},\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i},\beta-1\}$. Choosing $\lambda_0\in(\gamma,1+\beta)$ and

$$C_K\ge\max\left\{\max_{\substack{t\in\mathbf{R}\\ K\le|z|\le K+1}}\frac{H(t,z)}{|z|^{\lambda_0+1}},\ \frac{c_1}{\min_{1\le i\le n}\{\alpha_i\lambda_0-\beta_i,\beta_i\lambda_0-\alpha_i\}},\ A_1\right\},$$

where A_1 is defined in (3.8), $c_1,\ \alpha_i,\ \beta_i$ are defined in (H2). For $(t,z)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}$, we set

$$H_K(t,z)=\chi(|z|)H(t,z)+(1-\chi(|z|))C_K|z|^{\lambda_0+1}.$$

If $K>0$ is large enough, it is easy to show that H_K satisfies (H2) and (H3)$'$ with the constants independent of K (see [34]). The modified function H_K also satisfies (H1), (H3)-(H5).

Let $f_K(z)=\frac{1}{2}\langle Az,z\rangle-\int_0^\tau H_K(t,z)\mathrm{d}t$, then $f_K\in C^2(E,\mathbf{R})$.

By the choice of λ_0, there exists a constant $A_2>0$ such that

$$|z|^{\lambda_0+1}\ge\sum_{i=1}^n\left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}+|q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right),\quad |z|\ge A_2,$$

then we have

$$H_K(t,z)\ge A_1\sum_{i=1}^n\left(|p_i|^{1+\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i}}+|q_i|^{1+\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i}}\right),\qquad (t,z)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}\text{ with }|z|\ge A_2.$$

In all the arguments before, we replace H, λ and f by H_K, λ_0 and f_K respectively, we see f_K possesses a critical point z_K satisfying $0<\delta_K<f_K(z_K)\le\frac{2\pi}{\tau}\nu^\eta$ and $i_\tau(z_K)\le n+1\le i_\tau(z_K)+\nu_\tau(z_K)$.

By (H3)$'$, it is easy to prove that $z=z_K$ is independent of K and a τ-periodic nonconstant solution of the system (1.1) for K large enough (see [34]).

Remark 3.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 still holds.*

In fact, we also take the cut-off function $\chi\in C^\infty([0,+\infty),\mathbf{R})$ as before.

For R defined in (C3), we set $R(K)\ge\max\limits_{\substack{t\in\mathbf{R}\\ K\le|z|\le K+1}}\frac{H(t,z)}{\sum_{i=1}^n(|p_i|^{\varphi_i}+|q_i|^{\psi_i})}$ $(K>R)$ and define

$$H_K(t,z)=\chi(|z|)H(t,z)+(1-\chi(|z|))R(K)\sum_{i=1}^n\left(|p_i|^{\theta^{-1}\varphi_i}+|q_i|^{\theta^{-1}\psi_i}\right),\ \ (t,z)\in\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

Then H_K satisfies (C2) and (C3) with the constants independent of K (see [2]) if $R(K)$ and R are large enough.

Let $f_K(z)=\frac{1}{2}\langle Az,z\rangle-\int_0^\tau H_K(t,z)\mathrm{d}t$, then $f_K\in C^2(E,\mathbf{R})$. It is easy to show that f_K satisfies (PS)* condition (see [2]). By the definition of H_K, we can choose $\lambda_1>\max_{1\le i\le n}\{\frac{\varphi_i}{\psi_i},\frac{\psi_i}{\varphi_i}\}$ such that H_K satisfies (H4), then $f_K|_{B_{\mu(K)}(S)}\ge\delta_K>0$ (see Lemma 3.2).

From [2], we know that there exist constants $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_K(t,z) &\geq d_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(|p_i|^{\theta^{-1}\varphi_i} + |q_i|^{\theta^{-1}\psi_i} \right) - d_2 \\ &= d_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(|p_i|^{\theta^{-1}(1+\frac{\varphi_i}{\psi_i})} + |q_i|^{\theta^{-1}(1+\frac{\psi_i}{\varphi_i})} \right) - d_2, \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates an inequality similar to (3.8).

4 The case: H contains a quadratic term

Now we consider the case where $H(t,z) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{B}(t)z,z) + \hat{H}(t,z)$. The proof of the following results are similar to that of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1, we only state the results.

We set $\omega = \max_{t\in\mathbf{R}} |\hat{B}(t)|$ and suppose $H(t,z) \geq 0,\ (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\hat{H}$ satisfies (H1), (H2), (H3)′, (H4), (H5) and $\hat{B}(t)$ satisfies $(\hat{B}(t)z,z) = 2(\hat{B}(t)z, V_1(z)), (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and*

(H6) $\hat{B}(t)$ is a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function and satisfies

$$(\hat{B}(t)z,z) = 2(\hat{B}(t)z, V_2(z)), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

We also require there exists an unbounded sequence $\{\varrho_m\} \subset (0,+\infty)$ with $\inf_m \varrho_m = 0$ such that

$$(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) = \varrho^{\eta-2}(\hat{B}(t)z,z), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$$

holds for $\varrho \in \{\varrho_m\}$, where $B_\varrho z = (\varrho^{\tilde{\tau}_1 - 1}p_1, \cdots, \varrho^{\tilde{\tau}_n - 1}p_n, \varrho^{\tilde{\sigma}_1 - 1}q_1, \cdots, \varrho^{\tilde{\sigma}_n - 1}q_n)$, $\varrho > 0$ with $\eta, \tilde{\sigma}_i, \tilde{\tau}_i$ defined as in Section 3.
Then for each integer $k \geq 1$ and $k < \frac{2\pi}{\omega\tau}$, the system (1.1) possesses a kT-periodic nonconstant solution z_k such that z_k and z_{pk} are geometrically distinct provided $p > 2n+1$ and $pk < \frac{2\pi}{\omega\tau}$. If all z_k are non-degenerate, then z_k and z_{pk} $(p > 1)$ are geometrically distinct.

Note that (H6) is satisfied if $b_{ij}(t) = 0$ whenever $|i-j| \neq n$. If $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 2$, $\sigma_i = \tau_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$, then $\hat{B}(t)$ is just a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function.

Similarly we have the following results.

Corollary 4.1. *Replace (H3)′ with (H3), then we have the same results as in Theorem 4.1.*

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $\hat{H}$ satisfies (C1)-(C4) and $\hat{B}(t)$ satisfies*

(C5) $\hat{B}(t)$ is a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function and satisfies

$$(\hat{B}(t)z,z) = 2(\hat{B}(t)z, V_3(z)), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

Moreover, set $\hat{\eta} = \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{\varphi_i + \psi_i\}$, $\hat{\sigma}_i = \frac{\hat{\eta}}{\varphi_i+\psi_i}\varphi_i$ and $\hat{\tau}_i = \frac{\hat{\eta}}{\varphi_i+\psi_i}\psi_i$, we require that there exists an unbounded sequence $\{\varrho_m\} \subset (0,+\infty)$ with $\inf_m \varrho_m = 0$ such that

$$(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) = \varrho^{\hat{\eta}-2}(\hat{B}(t)z,z), \quad (t,z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

holds for $\varrho \in \{\varrho_m\}$, where $B_\varrho z = (\varrho^{\hat{\tau}_1 - 1}p_1, \cdots, \varrho^{\hat{\tau}_n - 1}p_n, \varrho^{\hat{\sigma}_1 - 1}q_1, \cdots, \varrho^{\hat{\sigma}_n - 1}q_n)$, $\varrho > 0$. Then we have the same results as in Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1. *If $\varphi_i = \psi_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$, then (C1)-(C5) are the conditions in [18] with the difference that we require $H(t,z) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{B}(t)z, z) + \hat{H}(t,z) \geq 0$ instead of $\hat{B}(t)$ being semi-positive-definite. Thus Theorem 4.2 generalizes the theorems in [18] in the semi-positive-definite case.*

For $z, \zeta \in E$, define $\langle Bz, \zeta\rangle = \int_0^\tau (\hat{B}(t)z, \zeta)\mathrm{d}t$, then B is a linear bounded and self-adjoint operator on E and $|\langle Bz, z\rangle| \leq \omega\|z\|^2$.

Remark 4.2. *The key point of the proof of Corollary 4.1 is that if (H6) holds, then we have $f(z) - f'(z)V_1(z) = \int_0^\tau (\hat{H}'_z(t,z)\cdot V_1(z) - \hat{H}(t,z))dt$ and $\langle BB_\rho z, B_\rho z\rangle = \rho^{\eta-2}\langle Bz, z\rangle$, where $z \in E$, and f, η and B_ρ $(\rho > 0)$ are defined in Section 3. Note that H satisfies (H3) if $\hat{H}$ does. Then the proof of $(C)^*$ condition is the same as that of Lemma 3.1. We can define B_μ for small $\mu \in \{\varrho_m\}$ and B_ν for large $\nu \in \{\varrho_m\}$ as in Section 3. So the arguments can be applied to the current case.*

The first equation in (H6) implies that $\hat{B}(t) = \hat{B}(t)V_1 + V_1\hat{B}(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. For β in (H2), we require that $\beta \geq 2$, so there exists $c_\beta > 0$ such that $\|z\|_{L^\beta} \geq c_\beta\|z\|_{L^2}$, $z \in L^\beta(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$. So similarly we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $\hat{H}$ satisfies (H1)-(H5) and $\hat{B}(t)$ satisfies*

(H6)′ $\hat{B}(t)$ is a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function with $\max_{t\in\mathbf{R}}|\hat{B}(t) - \hat{B}(t)V_1 - V_1\hat{B}(t)| < c_1c_\beta$ and satisfies

$$\limsup_{\varrho\to 0^+}(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) \leq \omega_1\varrho^{\eta-2} \quad \textit{and} \quad \liminf_{\varrho\to+\infty}(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) \geq \omega_2\varrho^{\eta-2}$$

hold uniformly for $(t,z) \in \mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and $|z| = 1$, where c_1 is as in (H2) and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \geq 0$.
Then we have the same results as in Theorem 4.1.

For $\theta, \varphi_i, \psi_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ in (C2), we require that $\gamma \geq 2\theta$, so there exists $c_\gamma > 0$ such that $\|z\|_{L^\gamma} \geq c_\gamma\|z\|_{L^2}$, $z \in L^\gamma(S_\tau, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$, where $\gamma = \varphi_i, \psi_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$. So similarly we also have the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose H satisfies a condition similar to (C3), $\hat{H}$ satisfies (C1)-(C5) and $\hat{B}(t)$ satisfies*

(C5)′ $\hat{B}(t)$ is a τ-periodic, symmetric and continuous matrix function with $\max_{t\in\mathbf{R}}|\hat{B}(t) - \hat{B}(t)V_3 - V_3\hat{B}(t)| < c_1\min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{c_{\varphi_i}, c_{\psi_i}\}$ and satisfies

$$\limsup_{\varrho\to 0^+}(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) \leq \omega_3\varrho^{\hat{\eta}-2} \quad \textit{and} \quad \liminf_{\varrho\to+\infty}(\hat{B}(t)B_\varrho z, B_\varrho z) \geq \omega_4\varrho^{\hat{\eta}-2}$$

hold uniformly for $(t,z) \in \mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and $|z| = 1$, where $\hat{\eta}$ and B_ϱ are as in Theorem 4.2 and $\omega_3, \omega_4 \geq 0$.
Then we have the same results as in Theorem 4.1.

5 Minimal periodic solutions for the autonomous Hamiltonian systems

In this section, we consider the minimal periodic problem of the following autonomous Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = JH'(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}, \\ z(\tau) = z(0). \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

We say that (z, τ) is a minimal periodic solution of (5.1) if z solves the problem (5.1) with τ being the minimal period of z.

As shown in [21], we can also obtain minimal periodic solutions for the autonomous Hamiltonian systems (5.1).

From Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.1, we see that for any $\tau > 0$ the Hamiltonian system (5.1) possesses a nontrivial τ-periodic solution (z, τ) satisfying $i_\tau(z) \le n+1$ provided the function H satisfying the conditions in one of the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 with some necessary modifications in an obvious way (since the function H does not depend on time t). In the following result we understand the statements of the conditions in this sense.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose the autonomous Hamiltonian function $H(z)$ satisfies the conditions in one of the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 and*

(H7) $H''_{zz}(z)$ is strictly positive for every $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$.

Then (z, τ) is a minimal periodic solution of the nonlinear Hamiltonian system (5.1).

Note that the Hamiltonian function H of (1.2) satisfies (H7).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is almost the same as that in [21]. For readers' convenience, we estimate the iteration number of the solution (z, τ) now.

Assume (z, τ) has minimal period $\frac{\tau}{k}$, i.e., its iteration number is $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since the nonlinear Hamiltonian system in (5.1) is autonomous and (H7) holds, we have $\nu_{\frac{\tau}{k}}(z) \ge 1$ and $i_{\frac{\tau}{k}}(z) \ge n$ by Lemma 2.1. From Lemma 2.2, we see $k = 1$, that is, the solution (z, τ) has minimal period τ. □

In his pioneer work [28], P. Rabinowitz proposed a conjecture on whether a superquadratic Hamiltonian system possesses a periodic solution with a prescribed minimal period. This conjecture has been deeply studied by many mathematicians. We refer to [5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 21, 24] for the original Rabinowitz's conjecture under some further conditions (for example the convex case). For the minimal periodic problem of brake solution of Hamiltonian systems, we refer to [15, 19, 33]. For the minimal periodic problem of P-symmetric solution of Hamiltonian systems, we refer to [20, 23]. Up to our knowledge, Theorem 5.1 is the first result on the minimal periodic problem of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems with anisotropic growth.

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo, Morse theory for Hamiltonian systems, *Chapman, Hall, London*, 2001.

[2] T. An, Z. Wang, *Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems with anisotropic growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Ana. 9 (2010), 1069-1082.

[3] K. Chang, Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, *Birkh-äuser, Boston*, 1993.

[4] S. Chen, C. Tang, *Periodic and subharmonic solutions of a class of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 297 (2004), 267-284.

[5] D. Dong, Y. Long, *The iteration formula of the Maslov-type index theory with applications to nonlinear Hamiltonian systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 2619-2661.

[6] I. Ekeland, Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics, *Springer, Berlin*, 1990.

[7] I. Ekeland, H. Hofer, *Subharmonics of convex nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 40 (1987), 1-37.

[8] G. Fei, Relative morse index and its application to Hamiltonian systems in the presence of symmetries, J. Differential Equations, **122** (1995), 302-315.

[9] G. Fei, *On periodic solutions of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems*, Electro. J. Differential Equations 8 (2002), 1-12.

[10] G. Fei, Q. Qiu, *Periodic solutions of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 18 (1997), 359-372.

[11] G. Fei, Q. Qiu, *Minimal period solutions of nonlinear Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A. 27 (1996), 821-839.

[12] G. Fei, S. Kim, T. Wang, *Minimal period estimates of period solutions for superquadratic Hamiltonian systmes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 238 (1999), 216-233.

[13] P.L. Felmer, *Periodic solutions of "superquadratic" Hamiltonian systems*, J. Differential Equations 102 (1993), 188-207.

[14] C. Li, *Brake subharmonic solutions of subquadratic Hamiltonian systems*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 37 (2016), 405-418.

[15] C. Li, *The study of minimal period estimates for brake orbits of autonomous subquadratic Hamiltonian systems*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 31 (2015), 1645-1658.

[16] C. Li, C.Liu, *Brake subharmonic solutions of first order Hamiltonian systems*SCIENCE CHINA (Mathematics), 53 (2010), 2719-2732.

[17] C. Li, Z. Ou, C. Tang, *Periodic and subharmonic solutions for a class of non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A. 75 (2012), 2262- 2272.

[18] C. Liu, *Subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinear Anal. 42 (2000), 185-198.

[19] C. Liu, *Minimal period estimates for brake orbits of nonlinear symmetric Hamiltonian systems*, Discrete and continuous dynamical systems 27 (2010), 337-355.

[20] C. Liu, *Relative index theories and applications*, preprint.

[21] C. Liu, Y. Long, *Iteration inequalities of the Maslov-type index theory with applications*, J. Differential Equations 165 (2000), 355-376.

[22] C. Liu, S. Tang, *Subharmonic P-solutions of first order Hamiltonian systems*, Preprint.

[23] C. Liu, S. Tang, *Iteration inequalities of the Maslov P-index theory with applications*, Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A. 127 (2015), 215-234.

[24] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications, *Birkhauser Verlag Basel · Boston · Berlin*, 2002.

[25] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems, *Springer*, 1989.

[26] R. Michalek, G. Tarantello, *Subharmonic solutions with prescribed minimal period for nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems*, J. Differential Equations 72 (1988), 28-55.

[27] K. Perera, M. Schechter, Topics in critical point theory, *Cambridge University Press*, 2013.

[28] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systmes*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 157-184.

[29] P.H. Rabinowitz, *On subhamonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 33 (1980), 609-633.

[30] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Mini-max methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 65, 1986.

[31] E. Silva, *Subharmonic solutions for subquadratic Hamiltonian systems*, J. Differential Equations 115 (1995), 120-145.

[32] Q. Xing, F. Guo, X. Zhang, *One generalized critical point theorem and its applications on super-quadratic Hamiltonian systems*, preprint.

[33] D. Zhang, *Symmetric period solutions with prescribed minimal period for even autonomous semipositive Hamiltonian systems*, Science China, Mathematics, **57** (2014), 81-96.

[34] X. Zhang, F. Guo, *Existence of Periodic Solutions of a Particular Type of Super-quadratic Hamiltonian Systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 421 (2015), 1587-1602.

[35] X. Zhang, F. Guo, *Multiplicity of Subharmonic Solutions and Periodic Solutions of a Particular Type of Super-quadratic Hamiltonian Systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Ana. 15 (2016), 1625-1642.